

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/862,803	05/22/2001	Bernhard Kern	1625 1185		
759	90 10/11/2006		EXAM	INER	
STRIKER, STRIKER & STENBY			LIN, KUANG Y		
103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			. 1725		
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	-	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/862 803				EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER	
				20061004	

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Applicant is advised that the Advisory Action mailed September 7, 2006 contains an erroneous information therein. In item 7 of the Advisory Action, the box "a) "should be checked to reflect the correct information that the proposed amendment(s) "will not be entered" in view of items 3(a) and 3(b). Accordingly, a new appeal brief to correct the appeal claim as well as the corresponding argument is required. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ONE MONTH FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Failure to reply within the set peroid will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 133).

Attachment: the revised Advisory Action (form PTOL-303).

Kuang Y. Lin Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1725

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/862,803	KERN, BERNHARD		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kuang Y. Lin	1725		

Potoro the Eiling of an Annual Priof									
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Kuang Y. Lin	1725							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d									
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 15 July 2006. A brief in date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply 	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.	37(e)), to avoid dismi	ssal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>									
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);									
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ejected claims.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	` ''	Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ √vided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		-							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• •						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See items 3(a) and 3(b) supra.</u> 	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	1	-						
	/								
	(Kuang Y. Lin Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1725							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 raises new issues and the issue of new matter.